REMARKS

In the April 9, 2002 Office Action, claims 1-23 were determined to be subject to a restriction and/or election requirement as they pertained to more than one patentably distinct invention. Specifically, the Examiner restricted claims 1-23 to the following:

Group I, claims 1-12, drawn to a method for reducing cellular damage and scavenging lipid hydroperoxides, classified in class 424, subclass 725 for example.

Group II, claims 13-23, drawn to a dietary supplement comprising Morinda citrifolia juice, classified in class 424, subclass 777 for example.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 121, Applicant wishes to elect the invention of Group I, corresponding to claims 1-12, for prosecution on the merits in the above-identified application. This election is made without traverse.

DATED this θ day of May, 2002.

Respectfully Submitted,

KIRTON & McCONKIE

Michael H. Krieger

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 35,232

KIRTON & McCONKIE

1800 Eagle Gate Tower

60 East South Temple

Salt Lake City, UT 84111

(801) 328-3600

CLJ:lah